



# UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG

Faculty of Social Sciences and Philosophy

Dean of Academic Affairs

UL, Fakultät f. Sozialwissenschaften & Philosophie | Studienbüro | PF 160001

Dr. Julia Leib  
- persönlich / in person -

## Certificate of Course Evaluation – WS 2024/25

As part of the supported course evaluation at the Faculty of Social Sciences and Philosophy, the following course was evaluated in WS 2024/25:

„European Peace and Security Policy“

00\_Seminar | LV-5-15-0007  
Lecturer/s: Dr. Julia Leib

The evaluation was conducted with the evaluation system "evasys" of the University of Leipzig. The results were sent to the lecturers separately.

Detailed information about the supported evaluation of courses at the Faculty of Social Sciences and Philosophy, including the used questionnaires, can be found at <https://www.sozphil.uni-leipzig.de/evaluation/>

Prof. Dr. Thorsten Schneider  
Dean of Academic Affairs

*This document has been created digitally and is valid without a signature.*

**Course Evaluation WS 2024/25**


European Peace and Security Policy (00\_Seminar)

LV-5-15-0007 | Lecturer/s: Dr. Julia Leib


Course Participants: 37 | Response Records: 10 | Evaluation period: Midterm

**Overall indicators**


Content composition | 5 Items



Didactics, teaching skills | 11 Items




Level of demand, workload, | 4 Items




Fairness, accessibility | 3 Items




General conditions | 3 Items

**Survey Results****Legend**


Question text

**1. Overall**


How satisfied are you with the seminar overall?




To what extent do you consider the seminar to be helpful for the fulfilment of your personal goals?




How high do you rate the overall level of difficulty in this seminar?

**2. To what extent do you agree with the following statements on the content of the seminar?**


The contents of the seminar follow a logical order and complete each other well.




The composition of the seminar content is clearly structured.




The seminar is well adapted to the topic contents of the corresponding module.




The requirements for taking an exam are transparent.



The relevance of the topics covered were communicated.




The literature for the seminar was sensibly selected.




### 3. To what extent do you agree with the following statements about the lecturer of the seminar?

*If there is more than one lecturer for the seminar, your answer should make an overall assessment of all of them.*


The lecturer interacts with the students in a friendly manner.



The lecturer treats the students fairly.




The lecturer is easily reachable outside of scheduled sittings (office hours, emails etc.).




### 4. To what extent do you agree with the following statements on the didactic structure of the seminar?

*If there is more than one lecturer for the seminar, your answer should make an overall assessment of all of them.*


The teaching/learning objectives of the seminar were made clear at the beginning.




Contents & goals of the course are suitable for the teaching/learning format of a seminar.




The lecturer of the seminar appeared well prepared.




It is important to the lecturer that the students learn something.



The lecturer encouraged active participation in the seminar.




The lecturer met the students at their level of knowledge.




## 5. Pace, scope of contents & readings


How would you rate the pace in the seminar?



How would you rate the scope of contents covered in the seminar sessions?




How do you find the scope of the reading material for the seminar?




## 6. To what extent do you agree with the following statements on the teaching competence of the lecturer in this course?

*If there is more than one lecturer for the seminar, your answer should make an overall assessment of all of them.*


The lecturer makes the seminar interesting.




The lecturer can present complex topics in a comprehensive manner.




The covered contents are backed up by examples.




The lecturer encourages the students to share their ideas and knowledge with others.



The lecturer responds to questions and comments of the students sufficiently.




The lecturer mediated the discussions in the seminar well.




## 7. To what extent do you agree with the following statements about the organisation of the seminar?


The number of participants is appropriate for a seminar.




The required literature for the seminar was available.




There were sufficient accompanying materials available (notes, transcripts etc.).



Are there time overlaps between this seminar and courses of the same module in this semester?




Are there time overlaps between this seminar and courses of others modules in this semester?



## 8. Workload, Attendance


How would you rate the workload (amount of work/time) for the seminar in general?



How many hours approximately have you spent on the preparation and follow-up work (incl. readings) of the seminar in the last 14 days?




For what reasons did you choose to attend this seminar? (*Multiple answers possible*)



## About your studies


Which degree are you studying for?

(Note: If you are completing a joint degree, please select the degree subject in which framework the seminar was selected from)




Subject:


(Lehramtsstudierende: please specify only the subject in which you attended the seminar)



In which semester are you currently enrolled?




Which of these would you describe yourself as?



### Sociodemographics and further comments

Gender



Are you raising a child?

(This is not meant in any professional capacity, e.g. not as an educator, childminder etc.)



n=10

# Comments Report

## About your studies

Other subject:  
(Only if ticked before)

- Geschichte (B.A.)

## Sociodemographics and further comments

Do you have any other comments about the seminar that you would like to share with the lecturer?

- By the far the most interesting seminar this semester. The reading material is some times a lot, but still manageable. I really enjoy the seminar and think that the lecturer is doing quite a good job.
- especially the practical aspects are perfectly integrated into the seminar
- Overall I like it, but I feel that for some topics, it would be better to have more recent literature, since some texts regarding actual situations are kind of old <8/10 years, nonetheless I found the literature to be very helpful. I would also wish, refering to this course in specific, more mention towards Latin America, since the relations between EU and Latin America were not a topic on the course but I feel they are important and relevant.
- Texte waren häufig schwer zu lesen, da viele eher eine Aneinanderreihung von Daten, Zahlen und Verträgen waren anstatt inhaltlich intensiver auf einzelne Dinge einzugehen. Hat das Seminar sehr umfangreich gemacht und war schwer dadurch wirklich in die Themen einzusteigen. Auch im Seminar gerne mehr Diskussion als Gruppenarbeit
- the overall course was very interesting, thanks a lot for your hard work!
- The pace and intellectual demands of the seminar are very high. For someone whose main subject is not politics and whose native language is not English, this can be very overwhelming at times. Knowing that the lecturer has this in mind, however, was able to take some pressure off me.